

1.5. The Hartree–Fock method

The trial function should be totally antisymmetric

IEA – Slater-determinant

q_i – spatial and spin coordinates ($q_i \equiv (\mathbf{r}_i, \sigma_i)$, $i = \overline{1, N}$),

λ – the states ($\lambda = \alpha, \beta, \dots, \nu$).

N no. of electrons and of occupied states (Pauli principle)

$$\phi(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \begin{vmatrix} u_\alpha(q_1) & u_\beta(q_1) & \cdots & u_\nu(q_1) \\ u_\alpha(q_2) & u_\beta(q_2) & \cdots & u_\nu(q_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ u_\alpha(q_N) & u_\beta(q_N) & \cdots & u_\nu(q_N) \end{vmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

In the ground state of the atoms (S and L has maximum value) the wavefunction of the system can be expressed by a single Slater-determinant.

This determinant is taken to be the trial function for the variational method.

We have to minimize the $E[\phi] = \langle \phi | H | \phi \rangle$ functional with the orthonormality conditions of the pi-orbitals

$$\langle u_\mu | u_\lambda \rangle = \delta_{\mu\lambda}, \quad \mu, \lambda = \overline{\alpha, \nu}. \quad (2)$$

We split the Hamiltonian into two parts

$$H_1 = \sum_{i=1}^N h_i; \quad h_i = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_i^2 - \frac{Z}{r_i} \quad (3)$$

$$H_2 = \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{r_{ij}}, \quad (4)$$

leading to

$$E[\phi] = \langle \phi | H_1 | \phi \rangle + \langle \phi | H_2 | \phi \rangle. \quad (5)$$

We write the Slater-determinants into more compact form

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_P (-1)^P P u_\alpha(q_1) u_\beta(q_2) \cdots u_\nu(q_N) \\ &= \sqrt{N!} A \phi_H, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

P – the permutations of coordinates q_i

ϕ_H – the non-symmetrized wavefunction

$$\phi_H = u_\alpha(q_1) u_\beta(q_2) \cdots u_\nu(q_N), \quad (7)$$

while A is the anti-symmetrization operator

$$A = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_P (-1)^P P. \quad (8)$$

It has no effect on a totally antisymmetric wavefunction. This operator projects a wavefunction on the subfield of totally antisymmetric wavefunctions.

$$A^2 = A. \quad (9)$$

H_1 and H_2 are invariant under permutations of the electron coordinates, hence commute with A

$$[H_1, A] = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$[H_2, A] = 0. \quad (11)$$

Let's calculate the matrix element

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi | H_1 | \phi \rangle &= N! \langle \phi_H | A H_1 A | \phi_H \rangle = N! \langle \phi_H | H_1 A^2 | \phi_H \rangle \\ &= N! \langle \phi_H | H_1 A | \phi_H \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where we ave used (10) and (9). Introducing (8) and (3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi | H_1 | \phi \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_P (-1)^P \langle \phi_H | h_i P | \phi_H \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \langle u_\lambda(q_i) | h_i | u_\lambda(q_i) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\lambda=\alpha}^{\nu} \langle u_\lambda(q_i) | h_i | u_\lambda(q_i) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

We have taken into account the (2) orthogonality conditions. Finally we have replaced the sum over the electrons with the sum over the occupied states.

We introduce the notation

$$I_\lambda = \langle u_\lambda(q_i) | h_i | u_\lambda(q_i) \rangle, \quad (14)$$

obtaining

$$\langle \phi | H_1 | \phi \rangle = \sum_{\lambda} I_\lambda. \quad (15)$$

Similarly we can write for the matrix elements of the two-electron operators

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi | H_2 | \phi \rangle &= N! \langle \phi_H | A H_2 A | \phi_H \rangle = N! \langle \phi_H | H_2 A | \phi_H \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i < j} \sum_P (-1)^P \langle \phi_H | \frac{1}{r_{ij}} P | \phi_H \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i < j} \langle \phi_H | \frac{1}{r_{ij}} (1 - P_{ij}) | \phi_H \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

We have taken into account that because the orthogonality of u_λ for a given i, j pair from the sum of permutations remain only u_λ and u_μ depending on q_i and q_j coordinates. P_{ij} is the exchange operator for the coordinates of electrons i and j .

Switching to the sum over the states

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi | H_2 | \phi \rangle &= \sum_{\lambda < \mu} \left[\langle u_\lambda(q_i) u_\mu(q_j) | \frac{1}{r_{ij}} | u_\lambda(q_i) u_\mu(q_j) \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \langle u_\lambda(q_i) u_\mu(q_j) | \frac{1}{r_{ij}} | u_\mu(q_i) u_\lambda(q_j) \rangle \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The sum is over $N(N-1)/2$ orbital pairs. We introduce the notation for the matrix elements

$$J_{\lambda\mu} = \langle u_\lambda(q_i) u_\mu(q_j) | \frac{1}{r_{ij}} | u_\lambda(q_i) u_\mu(q_j) \rangle \quad (18)$$

$$K_{\lambda\mu} = \langle u_\lambda(q_i) u_\mu(q_j) | \frac{1}{r_{ij}} | u_\mu(q_i) u_\lambda(q_j) \rangle, \quad (19)$$

$J_{\lambda\mu}$ – Coulomb (direct) integral

$K_{\lambda\mu}$ – exchange integral

We can extend the sum over all λ, μ , because the terms with $\lambda = \mu$ will be zero ($J_{\lambda\lambda} = K_{\lambda\lambda}$), and all other terms will appear twice. Finally

$$\langle \phi | H_2 | \phi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda, \mu} [J_{\lambda\mu} - K_{\lambda\mu}]. \quad (20)$$

The functional

$$E[\phi] = \sum_{\lambda} I_{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda, \mu} [J_{\lambda\mu} - K_{\lambda\mu}]. \quad (21)$$

We have to take into account the (2) additional conditions in the minimization.

Lagrange-multiplier method

$$\delta \left(E[\phi] - \sum_{\lambda, \mu} \epsilon_{\lambda\mu} \langle u_\lambda | u_\mu \rangle \right) = 0. \quad (22)$$

It can be proved, that the $\epsilon_{\lambda\mu}$ matrix can be diagonalized. We assume, it is done, so $\epsilon_{\lambda\mu} = E_{\lambda} \delta_{\lambda\mu}$. In these conditions

$$\delta E[\phi] - \sum_{\lambda} E_{\lambda} \delta \langle u_\lambda | u_\lambda \rangle = 0. \quad (23)$$

We perform the variation as for the Hartree-method

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_i^2 - \frac{Z}{r_i} + \sum_{\mu} \langle u_\mu | \frac{1}{r_{ij}} | u_\mu \rangle \right] u_\lambda(q_i) - \sum_{\mu} \langle u_\mu | \frac{1}{r_{ij}} | u_\lambda \rangle u_\mu(q_i) = E_{\lambda} u_\lambda(q_i), \quad (24)$$

where λ takes all the values for the N occupied states between α and ν . The equation above are the Hartree–Fock equations.

– exchange integrals

The Hartree–Fock-potential is the same for each electron, and the u_λ solutions (Hartree–Fock orbitals) are orthogonal to each other.

Introducing the direct and exchange operators

$$V_\mu^d(q_i) = \langle u_\mu | \frac{1}{r_{ij}} | u_\mu \rangle \equiv V_\mu^d(\mathbf{r}_i) \quad (25)$$

$$V_\mu^{\text{ex}}(q_i)f(q_i) = \langle u_\mu | \frac{1}{r_{ij}} | f \rangle u_\mu(q_i), \quad (26)$$

and the potentials

$$\mathcal{V}^d(\mathbf{r}_i) = \sum_\mu V_\mu^d(\mathbf{r}_i) \quad (27)$$

$$\mathcal{V}^{\text{ex}}(q_i) = \sum_\mu V_\mu^{\text{ex}}(q_i). \quad (28)$$

we can write

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_i^2 - \frac{Z}{r_i} + \mathcal{V}^d(\mathbf{r}_i) + \mathcal{V}^{\text{ex}}(q_i) \right] u_\lambda(q_i) = E_\lambda u_\lambda(q_i). \quad (29)$$

This system can be solved by the self-consistent field method.

Usually we separate the spatial and spin-dependent part of the wavefunctions

$$u_\lambda(q_i) = u_\lambda(\mathbf{r}_i)\chi_{m_s^\lambda}(\sigma_i), \quad (30)$$

where

$$\langle \chi_{m_s^\lambda} | \chi_{m_s^\mu} \rangle = \delta_{m_s^\lambda m_s^\mu}, \quad (31)$$

and m_s^λ is the magnetic spin quantum number for the electron in state λ . Introducing (30) into (24) the Hartree–Fock equations may be written for the wavefunctions depending only on spatial coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} \left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_i^2 - \frac{Z}{r_i} + \sum_\mu \langle u_\mu | \frac{1}{r_{ij}} | u_\mu \rangle \right] u_\lambda(\mathbf{r}_i) \\ - \sum_\mu \delta_{m_s^\lambda m_s^\mu} \langle u_\mu | \frac{1}{r_{ij}} | u_\lambda \rangle u_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i) = E_\lambda u_\lambda(\mathbf{r}_i), \quad (32) \\ \lambda = \overline{\alpha, \nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Generally these partial differential equations should be solved in 3 dimensions. If the potentials are not spherically symmetric, solving these equations is very complicated.

If we have closed shells – the Hartree–Fock potentials are spherically symmetric.

In other cases usually the potentials are approximated by a spherically symmetric potential – spherically averaged.

In these cases the orbital parts of the wavefunctions are described by the spherical harmonics, and we can write the following radial equations

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dr_i^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{2r_i^2} - \frac{Z}{r_i} + \mathcal{V}^d(r_i) - \mathcal{V}^{\text{ex}}(r_i) \right] P_{nl}(r_i) = E_{nl} P_{nl}(r_i), \quad (33)$$

where

$$\mathcal{V}^d(r_i) = \sum_{n'l'} \mathcal{V}_{n'l'}^d(r_i) \quad (34)$$

$$\mathcal{V}^{\text{ex}}(r_i) = \sum_{n'l'} \mathcal{V}_{n'l'}^{\text{ex}}(r_i) \quad (35)$$

are the potentials created by all closed subshells.

The summary of the Hartree–Fock method:

1. the calculation of potentials (34)–(35) using Slater-determinants
2. the solution of (33) differential equations
3. the two item above are repeated it until the system becomes self-consistent

We obtain the Hartree-Fock wavefunctions in numeric form. Often is useful to express these functions in analytical form using a few parameters – they are fitted to the numerical solution.

Example – the ground state of beryllium

Closed shells – two electrons on $1s$ and two on $2s$ spatial orbitals.

The Slater-determinant

$$\phi(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4!}} \begin{vmatrix} u_{1s\uparrow}(q_1) & u_{1s\downarrow}(q_1) & u_{2s\uparrow}(q_1) & u_{2s\downarrow}(q_1) \\ u_{1s\uparrow}(q_2) & u_{1s\downarrow}(q_2) & u_{2s\uparrow}(q_2) & u_{2s\downarrow}(q_2) \\ u_{1s\uparrow}(q_3) & u_{1s\downarrow}(q_3) & u_{2s\uparrow}(q_3) & u_{2s\downarrow}(q_3) \\ u_{1s\uparrow}(q_4) & u_{1s\downarrow}(q_4) & u_{2s\uparrow}(q_4) & u_{2s\downarrow}(q_4) \end{vmatrix}, \quad (36)$$

The $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^d + \mathcal{V}^{\text{ex}}$ Hartree–Fock potentials from (29)

$$\mathcal{V} = V_{1s\uparrow}^d + V_{1s\downarrow}^d + V_{2s\uparrow}^d + V_{2s\downarrow}^d - (V_{1s\uparrow}^{\text{ex}} + V_{1s\downarrow}^{\text{ex}} + V_{2s\uparrow}^{\text{ex}} + V_{2s\downarrow}^{\text{ex}}). \quad (37)$$

The direct and exchange potentials are given by (25) and (26).

We separate for each spin-orbital the dependence on the spatial and spin coordinates

$$u_{1s\uparrow}(q) = u_{1s}(r)\alpha \quad (38)$$

$$u_{1s\downarrow}(q) = u_{1s}(r)\beta \quad (39)$$

$$u_{2s\uparrow}(q) = u_{2s}(r)\alpha \quad (40)$$

$$u_{2s\downarrow}(q) = u_{2s}(r)\beta. \quad (41)$$

We apply the (32) Hartree–Fock equations. The effect of $V_{1s\uparrow}^d$ and $V_{1s\uparrow}^{ex}$ on $u_{1s}(r)\alpha$ orbital will be the same (and the two terms are reduced), while $V_{1s\downarrow}^{ex}$ leads to zero. Similarly, analyzing the effect of the direct and exchange operators on orbitals $u_{1s}(r)\beta$, $u_{2s}(r)\alpha$ and $u_{2s}(r)\beta$, and simplifying by the functions depending only on spin coordinates, we obtain

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 - \frac{Z}{r} + V_{1s}^d(r) + 2V_{2s}^d(r) - V_{2s}^{ex}(r) \right] u_{1s}(r) = E_{1s}u_{1s}(r) \quad (42)$$

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 - \frac{Z}{r} + V_{2s}^d(r) + 2V_{1s}^d(r) - V_{1s}^{ex}(r) \right] u_{2s}(r) = E_{2s}u_{2s}(r), \quad (43)$$

where the

$$V_{1,2s}^d(r) = \langle u_{1,2s}(r') | \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} | u_{1,2s}(r') \rangle \quad (44)$$

$$V_{1,2s}^{ex}(r)f(r) = \langle u_{1,2s}(r') | \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} | f(r') \rangle u_{1,2s}(r) \quad (45)$$

operators act only on the spatial coordinates.

The equations above are three-dimensional partial differential equations. However, the potentials are spherically symmetric, and the orbital part of the solutions will be given by the spherical harmonics. For s orbitals $Y_{00}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) = 1/\sqrt{4\pi}$ is constant and $u_{1,2s}(r) = r^{-1}P_{1,2s}(r)Y_{00}$. We may write the radial equations for the radial functions $P_{1,2s}$, for the special case of $l = 0$

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{Z}{r} + V_{1s}^d(r) + 2V_{2s}^d(r) - V_{2s}^{ex}(r) \right] P_{1s}(r) = E_{1s}P_{1s}(r) \quad (46)$$

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{Z}{r} + V_{2s}^d(r) + 2V_{1s}^d(r) - V_{1s}^{ex}(r) \right] P_{2s}(r) = E_{2s}P_{2s}(r). \quad (47)$$

This system can be solved by the self-consistent field method.